

A quest for weak objects and for invisible stars

S.I.Blinnikov^{1,2,3}

¹ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics
117259, Moscow, Russia

² Sternberg Astronomical Institute, 119899 Moscow, Russia

³ National Astronomical Observatory, Mitaka, Tokyo, Japan

Presented at
Atami Workshop on
BARYONIC MATTER IN THE UNIVERSE AND ITS SPECTROSCOPIC STUDIES
(November 22 - 24, 1996, Atami, Japan)

High quality spectra to be produced by SUBARU 8 meter telescope will be extremely valuable for numerous astronomical projects. Here I concentrate on two classical types of weak broad line objects – distant supernovae and white dwarfs – with emphasis of their importance for fundamental physics, not only for astronomy. With regard to recent results of MACHO experiment and HST weak star counts I discuss one exotic possibility: invisible stars made of the so called “mirror” matter.

1 Supernovae

I will not attempt to review all problems of supernova theory solution of which depends heavily on the high quality spectra of those events. But one problem, namely, a direct determination of the Hubble parameter, H_0 , with the help of supernova (SN) spectra, deserves special attention. The value of H_0 is of paramount importance both for astronomy and for physics. The Hubble diagram for type Ia SNe is normally used to this end, when they are treated as ‘standard candles’, as done e.g. by Sandage & Tammann [1]. Instead of this, a direct physical technique, an ‘Expansion Photosphere Method’ (EPM) or its modifications, is used by a number of workers to measure the distance to the host galaxy, where SN occurs. SNe Ia are brighter (on average) than SNe II but the physics of their light is much more complicated than that of type II SNe: already near the maximum light SNe Ia do not have a true photosphere, their continua being formed by blends of weak lines excited in the conditions which deviate strongly from local thermodynamic equilibrium. Type II SNe show a rich variety of light curves and they clearly are not the ‘standard candles’, but the presence of hydrogen provides for a real photosphere for a couple of months in many classical “plateau” light curve events. Even the peculiar type II supernovae, SN 1987A and SN 1993J, are modeled and understood much better than any of SNe Ia in spite of many important achievements in the latter field, see e.g. refs. [2, 3].

It seems that EPM is not hard to implement. Using weak lines which are believed to be formed near the photosphere one can measure the photospheric speed v_{ph} and then find its radius,

$$R_{\text{ph}} = v_{\text{ph}} t ,$$

where t is time measured after the appropriate zero moment. Now the distance D to the supernova is

$$D = R_{\text{ph}} \sqrt{\frac{F_{\lambda}(\text{model})}{F_{\lambda}(\text{observed})}}$$

if a reliable model flux $F_{\lambda}(\text{model})$ at the SN photosphere is compared at the Earth's surface with the flux $F_{\lambda}(\text{observed})$.

In spite of seeming simplicity, the most recent determinations of H_0 by this method still give discordant results: B.Schmidt et al. [4] find $H_0 = 73 \pm 6$, while E. Baron et al. [5] claim $H_0 < 50$. One of the reasons of this discrepancy can be the prediction of higher $F_{\lambda}(\text{model})$ in [5] due to an underestimate of the expansion effect in line opacity [6] (see more accurate treatment of this effect in [7]). In principle the spectrum fitting technique used in [5] must give more accurate results than the classical EPM which uses only continuum fluxes, but two things are necessary for a successful implementation of this technique: a full self-consistent hydrodynamic model of the supernova light curve, producing a very good fit at least to a broad band photometry (not a model power law atmosphere and not only a good bolometric light curve fit), and a very good low noise spectrum. While the photometry can be done on a relatively modest telescope for a very distant supernova, a high quality spectra (on the level of the spectra that theorists have for SN 1987A) require a full power of the SUBARU telescope.

2 White dwarfs

Another group of weak, broad line objects are white dwarf (WD) stars. The importance of old WDs is well known, and there may exist also a population of ‘very ancient’ WDs [8], but I wish to concentrate on relatively young, age less ~ 0.5 Gyr, and hot $T_{\text{eff}} > 12 \times 10^3$ K, white dwarfs. A search of hot WDs [9, 10] is independent of the proper motions and can be much deeper than proper motion surveys of cold WDs. It can be combined with a survey of quasars like in the Homogeneous Bright QSO Survey (HBQS) project [11].

Good spectra of very distant WDs (of DA and DO classes) together with model atmospheres give very valuable information. One can obtain then a reliable estimate of bolometric magnitude M_{bol} , of effective temperature T_{eff} and gravity g_{eff} . This opens a way to estimate the gravitational redshift (because mass and radius are reliably estimated from theory, given g_{eff}), and to separate it from the Doppler shift. Wide, pressure broadened, lines of WDs hinder redshift measurements, but with a good resolution one can see very narrow cores of WD lines (due to deviations from LTE) and to see all those effects (as well as WD rotation).

With the power of SUBARU telescope a survey of hot WDs can be carried out to a very high galactic z coordinate and bring data on their distribution, kinematics, birthrate, progenitors etc. Note, that among the progenitors of hot WDs there must be not only “contemporary” main sequence stars, but also the oldest stars of our Galaxy which are completing their evolution just now. To find this subpopulation among hot WDs one needs a rich statistics at high z and data on kinematics since these stars are expected to be faster than those stemming from younger predecessors. With accurate data on g_{eff} one can find WD distribution over mass. The median value of the mass distribution can be checked by another independent method [12]. The evolution of hottest WDs is controlled by neutrino

emission: higher is the mass, higher is the density and the neutrino luminosity. Thus, more massive WDs spend less time at high T_{eff} , and the T_{eff} distribution of a population of hot WDs can be used to derive the median mass of the population.

The T_{eff} distribution is extremely valuable for constraining electromagnetic properties of neutrinos, or new exotic particles, such as axions (see [12] and references therein). Broad band photometry used in surveys [9, 10] is not adequate to give the richness of information contained in WD spectra. One can hope to reach the goals outlined here only expanding surveys based on spectra as started in HBQS survey [11]. Only a fraction of the material on WDs is published in the latter reference. It is hard to believe, but this project is suspended (or stopped altogether), and a major part of the spectral material is not yet processed. In my opinion, this work deserves attention and support of SUBARU team, and the experience acquired by A.Gemmo and her colleagues [11] should be employed in future collaboration projects.

3 Invisible stars

Recent MACHO project microlensing results [8, 13] imply an appreciable fraction of dark matter in the form of compact objects with the typical mass $0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.2} M_{\odot}$. It is suggested [13] that they can be a population of very ancient white dwarfs. The number of those WDs must be huge (they can contain between $\sim 20\%$ and 100% of the halo dark matter [13]) and if they really exist this will involve many problems in explaining their initial mass function, chemical evolution of the Galaxy, early supernovae etc. So other, more exotic explanations of MACHO results, like primordial black holes or “neutralino” stars, are possible. To me, it seems most natural to explain the microlensing results by the presence of the population of invisible stars made of the so called “mirror” matter.

The concept of the mirror particles stems from the idea of Lee and Yang [14] who suggested the existence of new particles with the reversed sign of the mirror asymmetry observed in our world. Lee and Yang [14] believed that the new particles (whose masses are degenerate with the masses of ordinary particles) could participate in the ordinary interactions. Later, Kobzarev, Okun & Pomeranchuk [15] have shown that ordinary strong, weak and electromagnetic interactions are forbidden for the mirror particles by experimental evidence, only gravity and super-weak interaction is allowed for their coupling to the ordinary matter. But if they really mirror the properties of ordinary particles, this means that there must exist mirror photons, gluons etc., coupling the mirror fermions to each other, like in our world. Thus the possibility of existence of the mirror world was postulated first in the ref. [15]. The particle mass pattern and particle interactions in the mirror world are quite analogous to that in our world, but the two worlds interact with each other essentially through gravity only.

Later the idea was developed in a number of papers, e.g. [16, 17], and the interest to it is revived recently in attempts to explain all puzzles of neutrino observations [18, 19]. It is shown in the cited papers that a world of mirror particles can coexist with our, visible, world, and some effects that should be observed are discussed.

It is shown in ref. [17] that ordinary and mirror matter are most likely well mixed on the scale of galaxies, but not in stars, because of different thermal or gasdynamic processes

like SN shock waves which induce star formation. It is predicted in [17] that star counts by HST must reveal the deficit of local luminous matter if the mirror stars do really exist in numbers comparable to ordinary stars and contribute to the gravitational potential of galactic disk. New HST results [20] show the reality of the luminous matter deficit: e.g., instead of 500 stars expected from Salpeter mass function in the HST fields investigated for the range of absolute visual magnitudes $14.5 < M_V < 18.5$ only 25 are actually detected. It is found that the Salpeter slope does not continue down to the hydrogen-burning limit but has a maximum near $M \sim 0.6M_\odot$, so lower mass stars do not contribute much to the total luminous mass as was thought previously. The total column density of the galactic disk, $\Sigma \approx 40M_\odot\text{pc}^{-2}$ is a factor of two lower than published estimates of the dynamical mass of the disk [20]. It should be remembered that here we discuss a contribution of invisible stars to the gravity of the galactic disk which has more to do with the local Oort limit [21] than with the halo dark matter.

Let us turn now to the implications of microlensing results for the searches of mirror matter. I believe that one historical remark is in order. The classical reference here is the paper [22], but Refsdal suggested to follow a lensing star as the line of sight approaches a distant source to detect the effect [22]. Perhaps the first work which discussed the microlensing on invisible stars is the paper by Byalko [23]. He has derived the effect accurately and pointed out the importance of observing the bulge region of our Galaxy in order to derive “information on the nature of scattering (i.e. lensing) objects” [23].

What can one predict for MACHOs if the mirror world is real? If the properties of the mirror particles are *exact* mirror reflections of our particles, then it is unlikely that 100% of all halo dark matter consists of the mirror stars. It is more natural to expect that in this case the latter are distributed like visible stars in our Galaxy with their spheroidal and disk populations[17], and the bulk of the halo dark matter consists of massive neutrinos. The development of the idea of the mirror world [16] (see recent papers [19]) suggest that the symmetry is not so strict, but again it is natural to expect that halo consists mostly of massive neutrinos. So in the scenarios discussed above one should expect that MACHOs from mirror stars are more concentrated to the galactic disk and to the bulge than distributed more uniformly in the halo. Of course, the exact properties of mirror particles and the evolution of the mirror world are not known to anybody and one can picture to oneself our spiral Galaxy embedded into a giant elliptical consisting of mirror stars etc. What is needed now, is not a speculation, but a richer statistics of MACHO events, with a goal to derive mass and space distributions of lensing objects, and the search of very weak stars on the most powerful telescopes (the effects of the accretion of ordinary, ‘visible’ plasma onto mirror WDs produce a very low luminosity $\sim 10^{-6}L_\odot\rho_{-24}/v_6^3$ with the spectrum which is not yet calculated by anybody, to the best of my knowledge).

In summary, if the search for very ancient degenerate dwarf stars by SUBARU telescope, suggested by C.Alcock [8] at this meeting, will give a negative result (or a very low number of those stars), then the possibility of real existence of mirror stars will not seem so exotic.

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to NAO for support of my visit to Japan and I cordially thank T.Kajino and K.Nomoto for their hospitality. I gratefully acknowledge stimulating discussions with L.B.Okun and I thank him for improving the presentation. My work in Russia is partly supported by RBRF grants 96-02-16352 and 96-02-19756.

References

- [1] Sandage A., Tammann G. A., The evidence for the long distance scale with $H_0 < 65$ in “Critical Dialogues in Cosmology” Conference, ed. Turok N., 1996 (astro-ph/9611170)
- [2] Woosley S.E. in Supernovae, ed. Petchek A.G., Berlin: Springer, 1990, p.182
- [3] Nomoto K., Yamaoka H., Shigeyama T., Iwamoto K. in IAU Coll. 145, ed. McCray R. & Wang Z., Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996, p. 49
- [4] Schmidt B., Kirschner R.P., Eastman R.G., Phillips M.M., Suntzeff N.B., Hamuy M., Maza J., Avilés R., ApJ 432 (1994) 424
- [5] Baron E. et al., ApJ 441 (1995) 170
- [6] Baron E., Hauschildt P.H., Mezzacappa A., Mon.Not.Roy.astr.Soc. 278 (1996) 763
- [7] Blinnikov S. Astron.Letters. J. Astron.Space Sci. 22 (1996) 79
- [8] Alcock C., this volume
- [9] Fleming T.A., Liebert J., Green R.F., ApJ 306 (1986) 176
- [10] Liebert J., Dahn C., Monet D., ApJ 332 (1989) 891
- [11] Gemmo A.G., Cristiani S., La Franca F., Andreani P., in White Dwarfs, ed. Koester D. & Werner K., Berlin: Springer, 1995, p. 31
- [12] Blinnikov S.I., Dunina-Barkovskaya N.V., Mon.Not.Roy.astr.Soc. 266 (1994) 289
- [13] Alcock C. et al. (The Macho Collaboration), ApJ 486 (1997) 697 (astro-ph/9606165); Sutherland W. et al. (The Macho Collaboration), in the proceedings of workshop on “Identification of Dark Matter”, Sheffield, Sep. 1996 (astro-ph/9611059); Alcock C. and Minniti D., Proposal Summary, 1996
- [14] Lee T.D., Yang C.N., Phys.Rev. 104 (1956) 256
- [15] Kobzarev I., Okun L., Pomeranchuk I., Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 3 (1966) 837
- [16] Okun L.B. ZhETF 79 (1980) 694 [Sov. Phys. JETP 52 (1980) 351]
- [17] Blinnikov S.I., Khlopov, M.Yu., Astron.Zh. 60 (1983) 632 [Sov.Astron. 27 (1983) 371]
- [18] Foot R., Volkas R.R. Phys.Rev. D52 (1995) 6595
- [19] Berezhiani Z.G., Mohapatra R.N., Phys.Rev. D52 (1995) 6607; Berezhiani Z.G., Dolgov A.D., Mohapatra R.N., Phys. Lett. B375 (1996) 26; Berezhiani Z.G., Acta Phys.Polon. B27 (1996) 1503-1516 (hep-ph/9602326)
- [20] Gould A., Bahcall J.N., Flynn C. ApJ 482 (1997) 913 (astro-ph/9611157)

- [21] Oort J.H., in *Stellar Populations*, ed. O'Connell, Vatican, 1958, p. 145
- [22] Refsdal S., *Mon.Not.Roy.astr.Soc.* 128 (1964) 295
- [23] Byalko A.V., *Astron.Zh.* 46 (1969) 998 [*Sov.Astron.* 13 (1970) 784]